To: Wood, Charles[Wood.Charles@epa.gov]

Cc: Lobdell, Danelle[Lobdell.Danelle@epa.gov]; Tietge, Joe[Tietge.Joe@epa.gov]; Wade,

Tim[Wade.Tim@epa.gov]; Cascio, Wayne[Cascio.Wayne@epa.gov]

From: Bahadori, Tina

Sent: Wed 11/4/2015 7:57:40 PM

Subject: Re: quick question

That's perfect, Charles, thank you very much. Again Tom's focus is going to be on understanding the distance between the CARC and IARC assessments.

Tina

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 4, 2015, at 11:39 AM, Wood, Charles < Wood. Charles@epa.gov > wrote:

Hi Tina,

Along with Danelle, I participated in the OPP Cancer Assessment Review Committee (CARC) review of glyphosate. (I have served as the CARC pathologist for several years.) My focus was the animal data. I spoke with Jackie this morning and plan to be on the call with Tom Burke tomorrow. Let me know if you need more information.

--Charles

From: Bahadori, Tina

Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2015 12:22 PM

To: Lobdell, Danelle < Lobdell. Danelle @epa.gov >; Wood, Charles

< Wood. Charles@epa.gov>

Cc: Tietge, Joe < Tietge. Joe@epa.gov >; Wade, Tim < Wade. Tim@epa.gov >; Cascio,

Wayne < Cascio. Wayne@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: quick question

Thanks Danelle. Just so you know as background, Tom Burke and to some extent NCEA are not entirely comfortable with the divergence of the cancer assessment from the IARC position. The reasons may be solid, but they have not been discussed. So, there is a briefing by OPP for Tom tomorrow – we have asked that you and Charles to be added to that briefing. Also OSP (Jackie McQueen) is leading a coordinated effort across ORD to review the cancer and risk assessments. She may have pinged you already, or will do so soon. The review will include NHEERL, NERL, NCEA, and NCCT.

Thanks for getting back to me so quickly.
Tina
From: Lobdell, Danelle Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2015 12:13 PM To: Bahadori, Tina < Bahadori. Tina@epa.gov >; Wood, Charles < Wood. Charles@epa.gov > Cc: Tietge, Joe < Tietge. Joe@epa.gov >; Wade, Tim < Wade. Tim@epa.gov >; Cascio, Wayne < Cascio. Wayne@epa.gov > Subject: RE: quick question
Hi Tina,
I was involved with reviewing the epi literature for the cancer assessment. I was not involved with the overall risk assessment.
Danelle
Danelle T. Lobdell, Ph.D., M.S.
Epidemiologist
National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory
Environmental Public Health Division
<u>Mail:</u>
USEPA
MD 58A
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

Package Delivery:

USEPA Human Studies Facility

104 Mason Farm Rd, Room 52

Chapel Hill, NC 27514-4512

From: Bahadori, Tina

Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2015 12:11 PM

To: Wood, Charles < <u>Wood.Charles@epa.gov</u>>; Lobdell, Danelle

<<u>Lobdell.Danelle@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Tietge, Joe < Tietge. Joe@epa.gov>

Subject: quick question

Hello Charles and Danelle,

Others may have asked but I am away at SETAC and a little time lagged. Were you two involved with OPP's glyphosate risk and cancer assessments? If so, to what extent.

Thanks so much,

Tina

Tina Bahadori, Sc.D.

National Program Director, Chemical Safety for Sustainability (ORD/EPA)

DC RRB-41282; 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, RRB:MC 8101R, Washington, DC 20460

phone: 202.564.0428; mobile: 202.680.8771; Email: Bahadori.Tina@epa.gov